

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,222	05/09/2001	Roger Alcaly	3109/1G960 US1 4229 EXAMINER	
75	90 08/26/2004			
DARBY & DARBY P.C.			FELTEN, DANIEL S	
805 Third Aven New York, NY			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	0 ti Ai N -	1 4 11 4 - 1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1			
	09/852,222	ALCALY ET AL.	<u> </u>			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel S Felten	3624	\subseteq			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicatio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ma	a <u>y 2001</u> .					
	action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	•	•	d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/31/2001.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/852,222

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The invention in the body of the claim is not tied to technological art environment or machine, the claim is not statutory. The recitation in the body of claims 1, 3, 14, 20, 22, 24 are directed to merely human mental computation and/or processes that can be performed by a person manually, and this is considered nothing more than an abstract idea which is not a useful art as contemplated by the constitution [see Ex parte Bowman, 61 USPQ2d 1665, 1671 (BD Pat. App & Inter 2001) (Unpub)]*. Also note MPEP 2106 IV(b). The abstract idea does not become technological art the recitation of the invention in the body of claim manipulates an abstract idea without producing a useful, concrete and tangible result.

^{*}Even though Bowman is not precedential, it can be cited for its analysis.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,222 Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Melnikoff (US 5,784,696) in view of Champion et al (US 5,126,936)

Melnikoff discloses, as in claims 1, 3, 9, 14, 22, 24, 27 a method and apparatus for generating an index of investment returns comprising steps of: selecting a representative set of assets, where said assets may be grouped into a plurality of assets (see Melnikoff, Abstract); and computing the index as a function of the returns for each class (see Melnikoff, Abstract, col. 11, II. 17+),

as also in claims 9, 16, 17, 25, 26, determining a plurality of holding periods 182 (see Melnikoff, fig. 5C)

Re claims 2, 4, 15, 21, 23: computing index further comprises the step of selecting weights such that each weight corresponds to one of said plurality of classes, and averaging the products of the return for each class multiplied by its corresponding weight (see Melnikoff, fig. 5C),

Application/Control Number: 09/852,222

Art Unit: 3624

Re claim 5, selecting at least one asset from each of two commercial markets (see Melnikoff, col. 7, II. 29+), wherein the group of assets comprises at least one

Re claims 28-33: a computer-readable medium encoded with processing instructions to performing the method of the aforementioned claims above (see Melnikoff, figs. 11 and 12, col. 7, II. 15-25; col. 21, II. 48+)

Melnikoff fails disclose as in claims 1, 3, 7-9, 14, 27, generating a rule to determine the *position* of for each asset for time t, determining the position for each of said assets for said time t, determining a market price for each of said assets for said time t (see Melnikoff, Abstract), computing a return for each of said assets for said time t, said return being a function of the position and the market price determined in steps (c) and (d) (see Melnikoff, Abstract), averaging the returns computed in step (e) for all the selected assets in each of said plurality of classes, the average of each of said classes is the return for that class. This is disclosed by Champion (see col. 3, II. 8+). It would have been obvious for an artisan to modify Melnikoff with the aforementioned features disclosed in Champion to alternatively evaluate and manage asset portfolios based upon long and short positions expressed in Champion to accurately direct and adjust the level of portfolio risk. Thus such a modification would have been an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,222

Art Unit: 3624

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S Felten whose telephone number is (703) 305-0724. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DSF

August 20, 2004

Daniel S Felten Examiner Art Unit 3624

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

//wes 1 10 11